


1226 a Dubris (Dover), rep. a. 1778. Videtur periisse.

b Lemanis (Lymne, supra p. 20), imbrices permulti in parietinis castelli Romani reperti. Servantur fortasse in museo of the Kent archaeological society, at Chillington-house, Maidstone (cf. gent. mag. 9, 1860 p. 141; proceedings of the soc. of ant. ser. II 3, 1865 p. 218). Aliquot earum servatas esse in museo Britannico testatur FRANKS.

a b CL BR
 c CK BR
 d CΓ2 BR
 e 

a Lyon Archaeologia 5, 1779 p. 330 tab. 27 fig. T (inde C. R. Smith antiquities of Richborough, Reculver and Lymne p. 259; Wright wanderings p. 111; mus. Rhen. 11, 1857 p. 56).

b C. R. Smith excavations at Lymne p. 24 tab. 6 fig. 1—7 tab. 8 fig. 11 (inde Wright the Celt p. 156).

cl(assis) Br(itannicae)

Etiam d et e eadem videntur indicare. Cf. supra n. 18.

1227 Borcovicii reperta, servatur Cilurni (Chesters Hall), litteris cursivis.

COH I 7 > QRFI

Bruce in schedis; ego non vidi.

Puto esse coh(ortis) I 7(centuria) Orfi.

1228 Aesicae reperta, servata Cilurni (Chesters Hall).

COH II A2TVF

Bruce wall p. 68. Cf. supra p. 132.

coh(ortis) II Astu[rum]

1229 Ebchester (supra p. 96); fragmentum tegulae, preserved by a farmer.

coH I BR

Bruce wall p. 346.

[co]h(ortis) I Br(ittonum)?

1230 From Castlefield prope Manchester (cf. supra p. 56), tegula.

C III BR

W. Howarth gent. mag. 14, 1840 p. 415.

c(ohortis) III Br(ittonum)?

1231 Ad Calderum fluvium prope pontem ad Eland, ad Grimscane lateres reperti sunt sic inscripti CAMD. From Huddersfield, prope Slack gent. mag. 1838. Found at Grimscarre near Slack, Halifax, Westriding, in the possession of Mr. Leyland ARCHAEOLOGICAL JOURNAL. In Slack, Yorkshire GENT. MAG. 1866. Ex eodem situ omnes provenisse locorum indicationes demonstrant. Ubi extent nescio.

COH III BRE

a Camden ed. V (1600) p. 613 (inde Horsley p. 313; Goughs Camden 3 p. 274; gent. mag. 94, 1824 p. 261; Férussac bulletin a. 1824 II p. 36); gent. mag. 10, 1838 p. 182; archaeol. journ. 1, 1846 p. 9 (C. R. Smith Roman London p. 116); gent. mag. 19, 1866 p. 37 et 817.

COH IV BRET gent. mag., sed puto propter scriptoris neglegentiam solam. 'Another fragment of a tile bearing a similar stamp COHORS IIII BM' arch. journ. Hanc quoque puto male descriptam esse.

coh(ortis) IV Br(ittonum?)

Cf. n. 1229, 1230.

1232 From Maryport (Uxellodunum, cf. supra p. 84), servatur in Nether Hall.

COH I & HISPA
 INDVTVS FEC

Hutchinson Cumberl. 2 tab. 3 ad p. 284 fig. 30; Hodgson 2, 3 p. 242; Bruce wall p. 368.

2 INI VL VSSIC Hutch.

coh(ortis) I Hispa(norum). Indutius fec(it)

1233 In Quarmore prope Lancaster tegulae nonnullae prodierunt ita inscriptae. Ubi extent ignoro.

ALE SEBVISA

Goughs Camden 3 p. 394; Baines Lancashire 4 p. 486.

ALA Baines.

Ale Sebusia(ne)

Cf. supra p. 70.

1234 Vinoviae (Binchester), tegulae repertae in hypocausto (cf. supra p. 92).

a N · COND
 b И · COИ

Descripsi ectypa a Brucio missa. Maclauchlan Watlingstreet p. 7 ligno incisas; Bruce wall p. 353.

Lectio aliquanto impedita est propter lineas varias fortuitas, quae litteras secant; neque vero cogitandum nec de legione aliqua nec de Lingonibus, sed latet n(umeri) Con; nam N et D litterarum nexum, quanquam mihi videor dignoscere in ectypo, tamen minus certum puto propter formam sigilli ab hac parte rotundam.

1235 Londinii rep. omnes (a. 1841 in Chequers court leading from Bush-lane, in Bloomfield street Finsbury, in Lambeth hill, under the Post office); servantur in museo Britannico. Longae et latae sunt dig. 9, crassae 1 1/2.

a P · P · BR · LON
 b P · P · BR · KON
 c P · PR · LON
 d PR · BR · LON
 e P BR LON
 f P · PR · BR
 g BR

Descripsi. C. R. Smith Archaeologia 29, 1842 p. 157 tab. 17 fig. 3—6, archaeol. journ. 1, 1845 p. 115, collect. antiqua 1, 1848 p. 143, catal. of London antiquities p. 53 n. 238, Roman London p. 31 tab. 8, 3 et p. 114 ligno incisas a et c (repetiverunt antiquariam yearbook 1845 p. 80 Akerman archaeol. index p. 74; Historic soc. of Lancash. & Cheshire, proceed. and papers, I. session [Liverpool 1849 8.] p. 16; Wright the Celt p. 356; S. Birch ancient pottery 2 p. 249); A. W. Franks archaeol. journ. 10, 1853 p. 4.

Explicationem proposuit Mommsenus hanc (v. supra p. 21) probabilem sane, quamvis non certam:

a p(ublicani) p(rovinciae) Bri(tanniae) Lon(dinienses)
 b " " Br(itanniae) "
 c " " Lon(dinienses)
 d pr(ovinciae) Br(itanniae) Lon(dinienses)
 f p(ublicani) pr(ovinciae) Br(itanniae)

c—f initio integrae sunt; g mutilam apposui, quia potuit ab f differre, cuius exemplum unum extat in fine integrum. P · P · R · B legitur etiam in ansa amphorae Londiniensis, infra n. 1331, 91.